UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

DANIEL KASPRZAK,

Plaintiff.

v. Case No. 12-12140

Hon. Gershwin A. Drain

ALLSTATE INSURANCE COMPANY,

Defendants.

SETTLEMENT HEARING

BEFORE THE HONORABLE MAGISTRATE-JUDGE MARK A. RANDON
United States District Judge
231 US Courthouse & Federal Building
635 Lafayette Boulevard West
Detroit, Michigan
Tuesday, May 2, 2013

APPEARANCES:

FOR THE PLAINTIFF: AMY J. DEROUIN

Chris Trainor Associates

9750 Highland Road White Lake, MI 48386

FOR THE DEFENDANT: NICOLAS A. VESPRINI

Vandeveer Garzia, P.C. 1450 W. Long Lake Road

Troy, MI 48098

TRANSCRIBED BY:

Carol S. Sapala, RMR, FCRR

313.961.7552

www.transcriptorders.com

Settlement Hearing 5-2-2013 Detroit, Michigan 1 2 Tuesday, May 2, 2013 3 1:49 p.m. 4 (The transcriber was not 5 present at this hearing) 6 THE CLERK: Kasprzak versus All State. 7 MS. DEROUIN: Good afternoon. Amy DeRouin on 8 behalf of the plaintiff, Daniel Kasprzak. 9 MR. VESPRINI: Nicolas Vesprini on behalf of 10 Allstate. 11 THE COURT: All right. I've wanted to thank 12 the two of you. It's actually really been a pleasure 13 dealing with the two of you lawyers today. 14 And it's the Court's understanding that the parties have reached a settlement. Is that correct? 15 16 MS. DEROUIN: That's correct, Your Honor. 17 MR. VESPRINI: Correct, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: Would one of you like to place the terms of the settlement on the record? 19 20 MS. DEROUIN: Sure. I can do that. 21 Also, for the record, Mr. Kasprzak is present in 22 the courtroom. 23 I'm sorry. Did the Court voir dire the witness or 24 do you want me to? 25

THE COURT: I will. After you put the terms of the settlement on the record, if they're any other questions anybody wants to ask, we can --

MS. DEROUIN: Perfect. Thank you. Yes.

A settlement has been reached today arising out of a motor vehicle accident that happened May 10, 2011.

With regard to the terms of the settlement, it's for no fault benefits up until today's date with a waiver of wage loss for future Wage Loss Benefits claimed and waiver of Future Replacement Services claimed.

Also, there will be a waiver of future Non-licensed
Attendant Care, Non-licensed Attendant Care,
Non-licensed Attendant Care present family, however
defense counsel would like to word it in the release.

They're also be -- the medical bills and the medical transportation expense will be going to an arbitration, a single arbitrator, to determine which bills are reasonable and necessary and those amounts.

Lastly, as part of the settlement, there's going to be an indemnification hold harmless clause against plaintiff's counsel as well as plaintiff, Daniel Kasprzak, with regard to Dr. Sabit at Michigan Brain and Spine.

Settlement Hearing 5-2-2013 Lastly, it would be a gross settlement of \$140,000. 1 2 MR. VESPRINI: I think that's -- the 3 arbitration will be of the \$140,000. 4 MS. DEROUIN: Did you want me to explain that? 5 Do you have anything further? 6 MR. VESPRINI: No. I think that the 7 arbitration will be of the \$140,000 settlement 8 determined how that is to be broken down among the past 9 benefits that were settled. 10 Allstate will provide and hold harmless Michigan 11 Brain and Spine for services that I understand were 12 incurred from Dr. Sabit. 13 The parties, counsel and I, will provide language 14 in a release to both parties regarding the Future 15 Attendant Care, understanding it's for lay non-agency, 16 non-licensed type of treatment. 17 THE COURT: All right. 18 And, Mr. Kasprzak, do you understand the terms of the settlement agreement? 19 20 Can you tilt the microphone towards him? 21 THE PLAINTIFF: Yes, Your Honor, I do. THE COURT: All right. 22

And you understand that this is a settlement of your claims, which means this case would not go to

25 trial.

23

24

Do you understand that?

THE PLAINTIFF: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: And do you understand that if the case proceeded to trial, you might get more then the amount of \$140,000 you're settling for, you might get less then the amount 140,000, you might get zero dollars.

Do you understand that?

THE PLAINTIFF: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: And do you understand that in exchange for this \$140,000, some of your claims you're giving up your right to forever, meaning -- not the medical.

So for any future medical claims related -- that you can demonstrate are related to the accident, you'll still be able to present those, but other claims you're giving up forever.

Do you understand that?

THE PLAINTIFF: Yes, sir. I do.

THE COURT: And do you understand one of the things you will have to do is dismiss the lawsuit that your lawyer filed on your behalf with prejudice?

Do you understand that means it could never been refiled?

THE PLAINTIFF: Oh.

THE COURT: Do you understand that?

MS. DEROUIN: With the exception of benefits

3 that weren't claimed.

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

THE COURT: Correct.

THE PLAINTIFF: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: All right.

And is this what you want to do?

THE PLAINTIFF: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: All right. And you are satisfied with the performance of your lawyers in this case in representing you?

THE PLAINTIFF: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Do you have any questions whatsoever about any of the terms of the settlement that you need explained to you?

THE PLAINTIFF: Not that I can think of now.

THE COURT: Because you do understand that after today, it will be very difficult for you to get out of this settlement that's been -- that's being placed on the record. There's no court reporter here but it is being recorded.

And so it will be very difficult for you to say to go home and say I changed my mind. I really didn't understand what I was doing.

25

So if you have any questions about anything about 1 what the settlement means, it's best to ask those 2 3 questions right now. 4 THE PLAINTIFF: I can't think of anything. 5 THE COURT: All right. 6 Do either side want to ask their client -- would 7 you, counsel, first of all, want to ask your client any 8 questions or defense counsel? 9 MS. DEROUIN: Yes, Your Honor. 10 Mr. Kasprzak, in addition to the questions that 11 Judge Randon asked you, I just want to make clear that 12 you understand the 140,000 is the gross settlement. 13 And as I explained, that means our -- the law 14 firm's costs and attorney fees would have to be subtracted from that amount. 15 16 And with those medical expenses going to 17 arbitration, those bills, whatever's determined you owe, will also be subtracted from the 140,000. Okay? 18 You're fine with that? 19 20 THE PLAINTIFF: Yes. 21 THE COURT: Okay.

MS. DEROUIN: Thank you. I've nothing else.

MR. VESPRINI: Mr. Kasprzak, with regard to your medical -- couple questions.

25

22

23

24

Mr. Kasprzak with regard to your future benefits the judge asked you about, you do understand this includes future Wage Loss Benefits?

Do you understand?

THE PLAINTIFF: Yes.

MR. VESPRINI: Do you understand they include

Future Household Services?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE PLAINTIFF: Yes.

MR. VESPRINI: Do you understand it includes

Future Lay or Non-licensed Attendant Care?

THE PLAINTIFF: Yes.

MR. VESPRINI: Nothing further, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Well, thank you.

We need to do a minute entry on this. And one of the things we'd like to do is give the district court judge sort of a timeline on when to expect that a Stip And Order Of Dismissal will be entered.

Sometimes, they just enter a dismissal, keep it open except for, you know, that issues may arise in terms of enforcement of the settlement agreement.

MR. VESPRINI: Your Honor, I could present, by the end of the day or tomorrow, a proposed order of dismissal for the purposes of enforcing an award. I can have that draft over to defense counsel by beginning of tomorrow.

THE COURT: All right. Excellent. 1 2 Do you know how long, once they sign the releases, 3 how long it will be before -- well, actually, probably 4 going to be complicated by the fact there's going to be 5 this arb. 6 MR. VESPRINI: Right. 7 MS. DEROUIN: The arbitration -- I was going 8 to schedule that probably 30 to 45 days. 9 THE COURT: All right. So we can 10 get the Stip And Order Of Dismissal in the next week 11 then and then you guys will figure it out. 12 MS. DEROUIN: As long as I have as you 13 represent -- as long as I have a settlement agreement 14 that's given to me for my client to sign, I've no 15 problem signing a Stip And Order Of Dismissal. 16 THE COURT: Of course we'll retain 17 jurisdiction in case there's any problems enforcing the 18 settlement. 19 Thank you very much. Have a good day. Good luck 20 to you, good luck to your wife as well. 21 MS. DEROUIN: Thank you. Thank you, Your 22 Honor. 23 MR. VESPRINI: Thank you. 24 THE PLAINTIFF: I'm going to take another

Kasprzak v Allstate 12-12140

25

water.

```
Settlement Hearing 5-2-2013
               THE COURT: You're welcome. Need another one
 1
 2
     for the road? They're cold now.
 3
 4
                 (This hearing concluded at 1:57 p.m.)
 5
 6
 7
                    CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIBER
 8
 9
10
       I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a correct
11
12
     transcription from the digital sound recording of
13
     proceedings in the above-entitled matter on the date
14
     hereinbefore set forth and has been prepared by me or
15
     under my direction to the best of my ability.
16
17
     s/Carol S. Sapala, FCRR, RMR February 9, 2015
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
                 Kasprzak v Allstate 12-12140
```